1 Publication number:

0 258 045 A2

@

EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION

2 Application number: 87307537.8

(9) Int. CL4: A 61 D 1/02

A 61 K 39/012

22 Date of filing: 26.08.87

39 Priority: 28.08.88 US 901912

Date of publication of application: 02.03.88 Bulletin 88/09

Designated Contracting States:
BE CH DE ES FR GB GR IT LI LU NL SE

Applicant: ÉLILLLY AND COMPANY
Lilly Corporate Center
Indianapolis Indiana 46285 (US)

(7) Inventor: Bafundo, Kenneth William 5893 Forrest Lane Indianapolis Indiana 48220 (US)

Jeffers, Thomas Kirk 1303 Bittersweet Drive Greenfield Indiana 46140 (US)

Representative: Hudson, Christopher Mark et al Erl Wood Manor Windlesham Surrey GU20 6PH (GB)

Method of improving the quality of animals.

The present invention is directed to a method for improving the quality of a coccidiosis-susceptible animal which comprises

(1) orally administering to the animal, at the neonate stage, infective coccidial organisms in a number effective to generate an immunological response by the animal, while

(2) maintaining the animal free of any chemotherapeutic anticoccidial for a period beginning with birth or hatching and continuing after step (1) until sporozoites have penetrated host cells, and

(3) thereafter administering an ionophore substantially continuously throughout the life of the animal.

EP 0 258 045 A2

Description

10

30

35

METHOD OF IMPROVING THE QUALITY OF ANIMALS

The present invention relates to methods of improving the quality of animals susceptible to coccidiosis. U.S. Patent 4,544,548 discloses a method of continuous administration of occysts to poultry.

We have now discovered that the quality of an animal susceptible to coccidiosis, with respect to its growth, feed utilization or appearance, can be improved by initial administration of occysts followed by an ionophore. The present invention is directed to a method for improving the quality of a coccidiosis-susceptible animal which comprises

(1) orally administering to the animal, at the neonate stage, infective coccidial organisms in a number effective to generate an immunological response by the animal, while

(2) maintaining the animal free of any chemotherapeutic anticoccidial for a period beginning with birth or hatching and continuing after step (1) until sporozoltes have penetrated host cells, and

(3) thereafter administering an ionophore sustantially continuously throughout the life of an animal. The animals most susceptible to coccidiosis are the various fowl. Accordingly, a preferred embodiment of the present invention is the practice of the above method with fowl.

The use of the ionophore anticoccidials with fowl has been unusually successful, because only a very small number of strains of Eimeria (the protozoan pathogen which causes coccidiosis) exhibit reduced sensitivity or resistance to the ionophores. However, even this limited incidence of resistance presents a problem to the poultry industry. In addition to the loss in terms of reduced weight gain, poorer feed efficiency, and the like, coccidiosis of even a mild form can interfere with the uptake of pigmentation. The result is a bird that fails to meet the consumer's expectation for appearance. It is an advantage of the method of the invention that it can give improvements in weight gain and in the bodily appearance of the bird even when resistant strains of Eimeria are present.

Furthermore, it has been discovered that this control of resistant strains can be achieved by the administration of strains of Elmeria which are ionophore-sensitive, thereby minimizing the risk of further spread of resistance. Thus, in an especially preferred embodiment, the present invention is directed to a particular method of improving the quality of a fowl susceptible to coccidiosis from an ionophore-resistant strain of Elmeria which comprises

(1) orally administering to the fowl, within 24 hours of hatching, an effective number of infective organisms of an ionophore-sensitive strain of Eimeria which is capable of conferring immunity against the ionophore-resistant strain of Eimeria, while

(2) maintaining the fowl free of any chemotherapeutic anticoccidial for a period beginning with hatching and continuing after step (1) until sporozoltes have penetrated host cells, and

(3) thereafter administering an anticoccidially effective dose of an ionophore substantially continuously throughout the life of the fowl.

The lonophores are a class of antibiotics of complex structure; from their inclusion of multiple oxygen atoms, they are also known as polyethers. Many members of this class are already known, and others are from time to time discovered. All ionophores exhibit anticoccidial activity, although the relative degree of such activity varies from ionophore to ionophore, and occasionally the toxicity of a particular ionophore may make its utilization as an anticoccidial impractical. Among the ionophores which have achieved significance for the commercial control of coccidiosis are monensin, narasin, lasalocid, and salinomycin. Any of these ionophores can be employed in the present invention. Other representative ionophores that can be used in the present invention include laidlomycin, nigericin, grisorixin, dianemycin, lenoremycin, lonomycin, antibiotic X206, alborixin, septamycin, antibiotic A204, etheromycin, isolasalocid, lysocellin, antibiotic A23187, maduramicin, A80190, and A80438.

The present improved method can be employed in any animal susceptible to coccidiosis. Although, warm-blooded animals of all sorts are susceptible to coccidiosis, the fowl suffer most from coccidiosis; therefore, chemotherapeutic treatment by one of the ionophores is nearly universal. Chickens and turkeys are the species most commonly needing protection against coccidiosis, and because of their economic importance, the present invention is most useful for these species. However, the present invention can also be practiced with other species of fowl, such as duck, geese, quail, pheasants, and the like. Warm-blooded animals other than fowl are sometimes protected against coccidiosis by use of the same ionophores utilized with fowl. The present improved method can therefore be used with such other species, such as cattle, sheep, swine, and the like.

The present invention is carried out by administering infective coccidial organisms to an animal susceptible to coccidiosis. The administration is carried out at the neonate stage, shortly after birth or hatching. In general, the administration will be carried out within the first 24 hours from birth or hatching. In typical poultry practices, each fowl is handled shortly after hatching, generally within the first 6 to 12 hours after hatching. In this handling, the fowl is typically debeaked, and vaccinated against various diseases, notably Marek's disease, infectious bronchitis, Newcastle's disease, and the like. In other poultry species, the birds are not handled individually or debeaked, but are nonetheless treated at this neonate stage by exposure to an aerosol which delivers the necessary vaccines against the same disease.

It has been found that the present invention can conveniently be practiced as part of these standard

0.258 045

procedures, and Indeed, that certain time relationships must be observed to btain the benefits of the present invention. Various references suggest that a newly hatched fowl is incapable of a full immunogenic response. However, the inventors have found that vaccination at the neonate stage is indeed effective, and, when employed in conjunction with the earliest possible use of ionophores consistent with the immunological process, provides superior control of coccidiosis.

In accordance with the present invention, infective coccidial organisms are administered to the animal to be protected against coccidiosis. The exact form of the organisms is not critical, so long as the form is one which will generate an immunological response by the animal. Suitable forms of infective coccidial organisms include sporulated oocysts, sporozoites, and sporocysts. Both of the latter forms require additional workup, and sporozoites are relatively unstable. Generally, the preferred form will be sporulated oocysts. The preparation of suspensions of various forms of infective coccidial organisms is well known to those skilled in the art. A representative preparation of sporulated oocysts is shown below in Example 1.

10

It is important that the infective coccidial organisms be administered orally. Again, the objective is an immunological response by the animal, and administration by other routes is less certain to engender the necessary immunological response. Oral administration is also desirable in that the precise number of infective organisms can be controlled. For these various reasons, the present invention is generally practiced by spraying a suspension containing the necessary coccidial organisms directly into the animal's mouth. However, in accordance with other practices described above, oral administration can also be achieved by aerosol delivery. It is known that aerosol delivery is an indirect mode of delivery to the mouth cavity. Material which the bird absorbs from an aerosol ocularly or nasally will in part drain to the mouth cavity; also, material deposited from an aerosol onto the bird's feathers will, by means of preening, also arrive at the bird's mouth cavity. Therefore, although the present invention is directed to oral administration, this term includes aerosol delivery which inevitably becomes an oral administration.

Although it is possible that the infective organisms can be administered in more than one dose, there is no advantage to multiple dosings, and multiple dosings have the effect of delaying ionophore therapy. In practice, one single dose is much preferred.

The exact number of infective coccidial organisms to be administered is not critical except that the number must be effective to engender an immunological response by the animal. In general, an immunological response can be obtained when using from 1 to 100,000 cocysts. The exact number can be determined by those of ordinary skill in the art, by the use of simple range-finding experiments. The exact number will vary with the species and size of the particular animal, the relative immunogenicity of the particular strain which is sought to be controlled, whether the strain is a wild strain or an attenuated strain, and other factors known to those skilled in the art. In many cases, good results are obtained in fowl with single doses of from about 10 to about 5,000 cocysts of Eimeria maxima or Elmeria tenella, and with single doses of from about 50 to about 20,000 cocysts of Eimeria acervulina. In some situations, doses of from 1,000 to 5,000 cocysts of Eimeria maxima and Eimeria tenella, and from 5,000 to 10,000 cocysts of Eimeria acervulina will suffice.

In a preferred embodiment, the present invention is used to give quality improvements in animals susceptible to Eimeria strains which are not controlled by the ionophores. In this embodiment, the present invention provides better anticoccidial protection than is possible by the use of the ionophores, alone. A number of strains of Eimeria are known to exhibit reduced sensitivity, or even outright resistance, to the ionophores. In the use of the present invention for the control of such strains, the immunizing dose of infective coccidial organisms can be of the very strain sought to be controlled, that is, the strain exhibiting reduced sensitivity or resistance. However, the propagation of ionophore-resistant organisms runs the risk of increasing the incidence of such organisms in the general Eimeria population. It is therefore preferred to use an ionophore-sensitive strain which will confer immunity against the ionophore-resistant strain. In general, different strains of the same species will confer immunity against other strains of the same species. However, this is not universally true, so that in practice, it will be appropriate to determine whether a particular ionophore-sensitive strain is, indeed, capable of conferring immunity against the ionophore-resistant strain sought to be controlled.

This can be determined by simple preliminary tests easily within the skill of the ordinary artisan. Generally, the test is conducted by administering the candidate ionophore-sensitive strain, withholding all anticoccidial chemotherapy, and shortly thereafter, such as from 5 to 20 days, challenging the birds with the ionophore-resistant strain sought to be controlled. The birds are then sacrificed and the usual parameters of coccidiosis disease evaluated. However, it is also possible to follow the immunological response of the birds by ascertaining the levels of antibodies. By these techniques, one can determine in a small scale test whether a particular ionophore-sensitive strain of Eimeria will confer immunity on the ionophore-resistant strain sought to be controlled.

After the step of administering the infective coccidial organisms, it is necessary that there be a delay until administration of lonophore is begun. The function of the delay is to permit the immunological process to proceed, uninterrupted. The delay must therefore be long enough to permit the infective coccidial organisms to travel to the relevant section of the intestinal tract and invade host cells, after which the immunological process will proceed because the intracellular organisms are protected from ionophore therapy. The exact number of hours of delay will vary with the identity of the host and the rate of transit through the gastrointestinal tract; and with the particular species of coccidium and the location of the gastrointestinal tract which it infects. Much is known by those skilled in the art concerning normal transit times through the

gastrointestinal tract, the site of infection by the various coccidial species, and the like. In chickens, this delay should be on the general order of one to four hours. In cattle, it is expected that the delay will be on the order of 4-8 hours. It is possible to delay chemotherapeutic treatment for an even longer time period, such as up to 24 hours. However, further delay leaves the animal unprotected from coccidiosis, except as has been provided by the immunological process, and is therefore undesirable. The preferred practice, therefore, is to delay ionophore therapy only as long as the time required for sporozoites to penetrate host cells.

Following this delay period, ionophore is administered and is employed substantially continuously throughout the life of the animal. As noted above, many of the ionophores are used commercially for the control of coccidiosis. Those of ordinary skill in the art are therefore well acquainted with the techniques for their use, the amounts thereof which are effective to control coccidiosis; and the like, so that a detailed discussion is not needed. Effective anticoccidial amounts for several of the ionophores, expressed in terms of the concentration in the feedstuff, are

monensin 80 to 125 ppm narasin 50 to 80 ppm lasalocid 75 to 125 ppm salinomycin 40 to 70 ppm maduramicin 4 to 8 ppm A80190 10 to 40 ppm

15

40

45

50

55

Although the ionophore is to be administered substantially continuously throughout the life of the animal, occasional interruptions and pre-slaughter withdrawal do not alter the advantages of the present invention.

Typically the ionophore therapy required by the present invention will be achieved by using a single ionophore as the sole anticoccidial agent. However, it is entirely possible to use a mixture of two ionophores, in which case the amounts of each will be reduced.

All of the foregoing teaching is based on present conventional practices for the rearing of animals, especially those practices for the rearing of poultry, which suffer the most from coccidiosis. However, the present invention is also adapted to be employed in conjunction with newly emerging technology for the embryonic immunization of poultry. Attention is directed to U.S. Patent 4,458;630, and to Avian Diseases 26(1):134-149, 1982. In embryonic immunization, an immunizing substance in injected into avian eggs. The present invention can be adapted for use in conjunction with embryonic immunization. In this adaptation, the immunization necessary to the present invention is carried out in accordance with U.S. Patent 4,458;630, except that it is not believed to be necessary for the present invention that cocysts be injected into the amnion or the yolk sac. Rather, it is believed that embryonic immunization against coccidiosis can also be achieved by injection into the choricaliantoic cavity.

After hatching, the practice of the present invention is the same, that is, an anticoccidially effective dose of an ionophore is administered substantially continuously throughout the life of the bird. However, the exact timing of immunological response to embryonic immunization is not known with certainty; the response may in fact be delayed until hatching, when the yolk sac is absorbed and becomes a part of the intestinal tract of the bird. Furthermore, the immunological response may vary some from egg to egg, depending on the exact site of injection. Therefore, to assure maximum response to embryonic immunization, the ionophore therapy is preferably delayed, even as in the main embodiment of the present invention. With embryonic immunization, the delay period should be determined by the same factors discussed above, but calculated as if hatching were the point of exposure to infective coccidial organisms.

In this adaptation, then, the present invention is directed to a method for protecting a fowl against coccidiosis which comprises

- (1) during the final quarter of an incubation period of an avian egg comprising an embryo, injecting the egg with infective coccidial organisms in a number effective to generate an immunological response, and
- (2) following hatching, administering an anti-coccidially effective dose of an ionophore substantially continuously throughout the life of the fowl.

In this adaptation of the present invention as in the general practice of the invention, another preferred embodiment is the use of an ionophore sensitive strain of <u>Elmeria</u> to protect against an ionophore resistant strain of Elmeria.

The present invention is illustrated by the following non-limiting examples.

Example 1

Preparation of Sporulated Oocyst Suspension

Source

A suspension of sporulated oocysts suitable for carrying out the present invention was prepared as described below.

The coccidial strain employed was <u>Eimeria maxima FS-177</u>, which was originally isolated in 1974 from Perdue Farms, Inc., Salisbury, MD. Since its isolation, it has been maintained by passage through chickens. The strain is ionophore sensitive. This strain is available without restriction from the American Type Culture Collection, 12301 Parklawn Drive, Rockville, MD 20852, USA, under the ATCC designation Number 40357 (deposited August 7, 1987).

大台大学以外的第三名的(A.A.A.A.A.

Production of Oocysts

An infectious inoculum was prepared by diluting a stock suspension of sporulated oocysts to the appropriate concentration (15,000-50,000 oocysts/ml) with tap water.

Birds were inoculated with the culture via crop intubation using a syringe. Between 15,000 and 50,000

Eimeria maxima oocysts were administered to each bird in not more than 1 ml of solution.

The birds were housed in steam-sterilized battery cages for eight days post infection. Other special precautions were employed. The birds were monitored for the eight-day prepatent period. Fecal material was microscopically examined for the presence of occysts other than Elmeria maxima. In addition, the intestinal tracts of all birds were later examined for the presence of lesions produced by other coccidial species (Johnson and Reid, 1970).

On the seventh and eighth days post infection, the feces from these birds were collected and blended with tap water to obtain a homogeneous suspension of occysts.

15

30

35

45

Workup

This suspension was strained through a fine mesh screen so that all particulate material was removed. The resultant suspension was then added to an equal volume of saturated NaCl in order to separate the occysts from the remaining fecal debris (although NaCl was used in this workup, any other additive which creates a high viscosity solution can be used instead of NaCl; other additives commonly employed are sucrose and ZnSO₄). The occysts floated to the surface of the NaCl solution and were collected via aspiration. The occysts were then washed free of the saturated salt by repeated low-speed centrifugation. (Large quantities of purified occysts may be produced via continuous flow centrifugation, see Vetterling, J. M., J. Parasitol. 55:412-417, 1969.)

A concentrated aggregate of oocysts produced as described above was mixed into 2% K₂Cr₂O₇ (potassium dichromate) to cause the oocysts to sporulate. The number of sporulated oocysts in a solution was determined microscopically by counting the number of infective organisms which appeared on the grid of a hemacytometer. When the number of sporulated oocysts/ml had been determined, dilutions with 2% K₂Cr₂O₇ were made to the desired concentration of sporulated oocysts/ml. Determinations of purity and potency were performed by microscopic examination of oocyst morphology. The number of sporulated oocysts/ml in each lot was verified.

Example 2

The Effect of Day-Old Immunization With Eimeria maxima on the Response to Challenge Infection at 10 Days of Age

A battery experiment was performed with Hubbard chicks to evaluate the effects of day-old immunization with Eimeria maxima (FS-177 strain) on the response to challenge by the same species and strain at ten days of age. No ionophore was employed in this experiment.

Hubbard-White Mountain cockerels were used in this experiment. Immediately after delivery from the hatchery, birds were weighed and assigned to treatment groups. Each treatment was replicated five times and each replicate contained four chicks. Chicks were fed a standard broiler starter ration for the duration of the experiment. The treatments used in the study were:

1. Nonimmunized, nonchallenged.

Nonimmunized, challenged on day 10.
 Immunized day 0, challenged on day 10.

Immunized birds were orally inoculated with 2,000 Eimerla maxima oocysts on day 0. The inoculation was with a suspension of sporulated oocysts prepared as described in Example 1. Those groups which were challenged were orally administered 50,000 Eimerla maxima (FS-177 strain) oocysts/bird on day 10.

Seven days after the administration of the challenge inoculum, all birds were weighed, bled via cardiac puncture and lesion scored (J. Johnson and W. M. Reid, Exp. Parasitol. 28:30–36, 1970). Serum was prepared from each blood sample and analyzed for β-carotenoid equivalents (Ruff-et al., Poultry Sci. 53:1801-1809, 1974). In addition, feces from all groups were collected on days 6 and 7 post challenge. From this material, average occyst production per bird was ascertained.

The results of this trial are presented in Table 1. Challenge infection administered on day 10 produced significant reductions in performance and β -carotenoid equivalents of birds not protected by immunization on day 0. This was accompanied by a severe mean intestinal lesion score and an occyst output over 40 million/bird.

Birds immunized with 2,000 Eimeria maxima occysts on day 0, however, experienced significant improvement in feed conversion and numerical increases in weight gain when compared to nonimmunized/challenged birds. Likewise, lesion scores and occyst output were dramatically reduced by the immunization regimen and, as a result, serum pigmentation was statistically equivalent to the nonimmunized, nonchallenged controls.

The results of this study indicate that the day-old chick is capable of mounting a protective immune response after an initial immunizing dose of 2,000 sporulated Eimena maxima oocysts. This protective effect was observed in performance, lesion scores, oocyst output and serum β-carotenoid equivalents seven days

5				
10				
15				
20				
25				sis1/
30			Table 1	arameters of Coccidiosis1
35			Tal	ameters, o
40				Par
45		٠		
50				
55				

60

65

		Average		Lesion Scores		Serum
Group	% Mortality	Weight Gain	F/G	(0=none, 4=maximum)	Total Occysts/bird	p-carotenoid equivalents
Nonimmunized, nonchallenged	в ₀ 0	385.78	1.574 ^b	₂ 0	qo	(HR/III.)
Nonimmunized,					>	5,23
cnallenged on day 10	08	287.7 ^b	1.911 ^a	800.4	6,000 Ac. 6,00	q.,
Immunized, challenoed					000,056,25	1.09
on day 10	0.8	314.9 ^b 1.660 ^b	1.660 ^b	0.65 ^b	500,000 ^b	8 t 7
					•	}

1' Means within columns not followed by a common letter are significantly different P<0.05.

Example 3

The Effect of Day-Old Immunization With Eimeria maxima on the Response to Challenge at 21 Days of Age, in Conjunction with Monensin

A floor pen study was conducted with Hubbard straight-run chickens to assess the effects of day-old immunization with Eimeria maxima strain FS-177 on the response to challenge by Elmeria maxima FS-410 (an ionophore resistant strain) at 21 days of age. In addition, the effects of monensin (100 ppm) on these immunization schemes were determined in a complete 2 × 2 factorial design.

The results of this experiment indicate that oral immunization (2,000 Eimeria maxima strain FS-177 oocysts) protected birds from challenge of Eimeria maxima strain FS-410 at 21 days when lesion scores and serum pigmentation were evaluated. This phenomenon occurred in both the presence and absence of 100 ppm of monensin.

Hubbard-white Mountain straight-run chickens were used in this test. Immediately after delivery from the hatchery birds were randomly assigned to treatment groups. Each treatment was replicated four times and contained 50 birds per replicate. Chicks were fed a standard broiler starter ration which contained either 0 or 100 ppm monensin for the duration of the experiment. The treatments used in this study were:

15

30

35

40

45

50

55

60

- 1. Nonmedicated, nonimmunized.
- 2. Nonmedicated, immunized (2,000 Eimerla maxima strain FS-177 oocysts).
- 3-4. As 1-2 with 100 ppm monensin incorporated into broiler feed.

Immunized birds were orally inoculated with 2,000 Elmeria maxima (FS-177) occysts approximately four hours before they were fed either the nonmedicated ration or the medicated ration. The inoculation was with a suspension of sporulated occysts prepared as described in Example 1. All birds were challenged on day 21 by spreading infectious litter containing occysts of Elmeria maxima (FS-410) over the existing litter in the floor pen. Seven days post challenge, all birds were weighed and sacrificed, and 15 birds per replicate were chosen at random for lesion scoring and serum pigmentation analysis. The techniques of Johnson and Reid (Exp. Parasitol. 28:30-36, 1970) were used to evaluate lesion scores, while the methods of Ruff et al., (Poultry Sci., 53:1801-1809, 1974) were employed in pigmentation analyses.

Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) procedures; in some cases differences between treatment means were determined using Student-Newman-Keul's test (P<0.05).

The results of this study are presented in Table 2. Birds immunized with 2,000 Eimeria maxima occysts were protected from challenge infection (FS-410) at 21 days of age when lesion scores and serum pigmentation were evaluated. Similar trends were observed in immunized birds which were fed monensin.

The results of this study indicate that immunized chicks raised in floor pens mount an immune response which is capable of protecting them from the adverse effects of an ionophore resistant challenge at 21 days of age. Significant improvements in lesion scores and serum pigmentation illustrate the protective effects of oral immunization on the first day of life.

Table 2

The Effect of Day-Old Immunization with Eimeria maxima FS-177 on Response to Challenge by Eimeria maxima FS-410 at 21 Days of Age in Floor Pens

Results 1/

		-	Monensin Concentration	ncentration	e	•
		ndd 0			100 ppm	
	Ave.	Average	β-carotene	Ave.	Average	8-carotene
	¥t.	Intestinal	equivalents	Wt.	Intestinal	equivalents
	Gain	Lesion	(µg/m]	Gain	Lesion	(µg/m]
Immunization	(g)	Score	of serum)	(g)	Score	of serum)
No	970 ^a	2.90ª	2.45 ^b	943a	2.03 ^a	3.43ab
Yes	978 ^a	0.80 ^b	3.96 ^a	950 ^a	0.93 ^b	3.98ª
		-				

1' Means within columns not followed by a common letter are significantly different P<0.05.

ANOVA - One Way

Source	SS	DF	MS	F-Ratio	
Treatment Error	10.2744 6.8794	5 <u>18</u>	2.0548 0.3821	5.376	10
Total	17.1539	23			
(Pooled standard error of and 0.31, respectively.)	the mean for weight g	jain, lesion sco	res, and β-carotene equiv	ralents were 12.6, 0.26,	15
Example 4					
immunization with Eimeria	sin Was conducted with h amaxima FS-177 on th	lubbard straigh	t-run chickens to assess	the effects of day-old	20
experiment reported in E mg/kg body weight) and i design.	n: ine challenge was xample 3. In addition nonensin (100 ppm) in	provided by re the effects of the feed were	using the same (loor pe levamisole administratio also evaluated in a 3 × 2	ns and litter from the n in drinking water (5 factorial experimental	25
pigmentation indicated th immunization on lesion's Hubbard-white Mounta from the hatchery, birds-	when weight gain wa at the challenge in the cores and serum pigi in straight-run broiler were randomly assign	as analyzed. In litter may have mentation. chickens were ed to treatmer	been insufficient to fully used in this study. Imm	on scores and serum evaluate the effects of ediately after delivery	30
times and contained 50 bithe test. The treatments 1. Nonmedicated, r 2. Nonmedicated, r	rds per replicate. Chic used in this study we confimmunized. mmunized (2000 Elmer Immunized (2000 Elm	ks were fed a s are: ia maxima FS-1	landard broller starter rat	ion for the duration of	35
4-6. As 1-3 with 100 immunized birds were hours before being place suspension of sporulated enhance the immune resping/kg body weight. The life	ppm monensin incorp orally inoculated with d on either the medi oocysts prepared as c onse, was mixed into	2000 <u>Elmeria</u> icated or nonn lescribed in Ex drinking water	maxima (FS-177) oocyst nedicated ration. The inc ample 1. Levamisole a si during days 4.5 and 6 at	oculation was with a ubstance reported to	40
FS-410. On day 21, fifteen birds f according to the procedur analyzed for β-carotenoid was completed and perfo	es of Johnson and Re equivalents (Ruff et al.	id (Exp. Parasi . Poultry Sci. 5	m, bled via cardiac punctu tol. 28:30-36, 1970). Seru 3:1801-1809, 1974). On d	m'wag prepared and	
Data were analyzed us evaluated using Student-I The results are presented	ing analysis of varian Newman-Keul's test (f ed in Table 3 and indic	ce (ANOVA); <0.05). ate that immur	differences between tre	ant improvements in	50
performance when compa severe on day 21; serum effects, due to insufficien	pigmentation values w	vere inconsiste	controls, Generally les	on scores were not trends of treatment	55
					60

65

Table 3

The Effect of Day-Old Immunization with Eimeria maxima on the Response to Continuous Challenge in Floor Pens I

			Monensin Concentration	entration		
		0 ррт			100 nom	
Immunization	Wt. Gain (g)	Leston Score	B-CE ²	Wt. Gain (g)	Lesion Score	B-CE2
None	738 ^b	0.12ª	4.34ac	806ª	0.25 ^a	4.51ab
Oral ³	786 ^a	0.00 ⁸	3.39°	804°a	0.25 ⁸	5.26 ^a
Oral + Levamisole ⁴	814ª	0.50 ^a	3.55 ^{bc}	194a	0.25ª	4.86ª

Means within columns not followed by a common letter are significantly different. Each treatment contained four replicates of 50 straight-run chicks. Lesion scores and blood parameters were collected on day 21.

 2 β -carotenoid equivalents in $\mu g/ml$.

3 Oral immunization contained 2,000 Eimeria maxima oocysts on day 0.

4 Oral + levamisole treated birds were immunized with 2,000 Eimeria maxime occysts on day 0 and given levamisole (5 mg/kg body weight) in the drinking water on days 4, 5 and 6 of the experiment.

ANOVA - One Way

			•		5
Source	SS	DF	MS	F-Ratio	
Treatment Error	10.6672 5.3336	5 18	2.1334 0.2963	7.199	10
Total	16.0009	23			
(Pooled standard error of and 0.27, respectively.)	f the mean for weight (gain, lesion sco	res, and β-carotene equ	ivalents were 27.7, 0.24,	15
Example 5					
Larger Floor Pen Study A larger floor pen stud pen. There were intende nonimmunized, non immunized, nonme	ly was conducted in when to be four treatment in medicated.	nich each treatn nts:	nent consisted of eight p	pens, with 130 birds per	20
nonimmunized, medical immunized, medical However, because of a n ppm). Thereafter, the bir	dicated with monensing the with monensin, 12 mixing error, for the first ds were treated exact!	20 ppm st 21 days of th v as listed abov	e The trial was carried	through an entire grow	25
out period (47 days). This resistant to monensin.	s study was done at a lest sts were <u>Eimeria maxi</u> red orally by a Beak-	ocation general ma FS-177, pre O-Vac machin	ly contaminated with stream as described ab	ove in Example 1. The	30
Various parameters of (1) On day 16, tel FS-410; seven days β-carotenoid equival	fanticoccidial efficacy n birds/pen were rem s later (day 23) the i ents were determined.	were evaluate oved and challe birds were ble	d: enged with 50,000 oocy d and sacrificed. Lesions ds were determined at d	sts of Eimeria maxima on scores and serum	35
(3) On each of da were determined. (4) At the end of the characteristics were	ys 27, 34, 41, and 47, the 47-day period, boodetermined for all remains.	five birds/pen v ily weight, final ainina birds.	vere bled and serum β- feed conversion, and pr	carotenold equivalents	40
Results and statistical a scale of 0-4, with 0 = no equivalents" is abbreviate "ANOVA"; and the poole	lesions and 4 = a ma ed to "Serum B-CE": th	ximum number ne "Analysis of	Variance" statistical and	*Serum R-corotonoid	45
	·				50
					55

65

Table 4

Data on Birds Challenged at Day 16, Sacrificed at Day 23

Treatment*	Lesion Scores	Serum β-CE (μg/ml)
Immunized + Monensin	2.25	2.39
Immunized + Monensin	2.17	2.37
Monensin	3.44	1.94
Monensin	3.46	1.73

^{*}A mean was calculated for each of the four groups prior to discovery of the mixing error.

ANOVA (Lesion Scores)

sov	<u>df</u>	SS	MS	F	P
Total Trt Immunized (I) Monensin (M) (I × M) Error	31 3 1 1 1 28	26.200300 12.327325 12.3008000 0.0055125 0.0210125 13.872975	4.1091083 0.4954634	8.3 24.8269 <1 <1	<.001 <.001 NS NS

PSEM = 0.25

ANOVA (Serum \$-CE)

SOV	<u>df</u>	SS	MS	F	P
Total	31	7.6563969			
Trt	3	2.5643344	0.8547781	4.7	<.01
Immunized (I)	1	2.3925781		13.16	<005
Monensin (M)	1	0.1023781	•	<1	NS
$I \times M$	1	0.0693781		<1	NS
Error	28	5.0920625	0.1818594		

Table 5

Performance Data on Remaining
(Non Challenged) Birds at Day 21

Tro	eatment		Weight bs.) Fee	ed Conve	rsion
Immunize Monensi	ed + Mone n		273 277	1.458 1.465	
	AN	//OVA (Body Weig)	nt, 21 Days)		
sov	<u>df</u>	ss	MS	F	_ <u>P</u>
Total Trt	31 1	0.0111962 0.0001575		<1	NS
Error	30	0.0110387	0.000368		
		PSEM = 0.	005		

ANOVA (Feed Conversion, 21 Days)

sov	<u>df</u>	ss	MS	F	_ <u>P</u>
Total Trt	31	0.0087122 0.0003445		1.235	NS
Error	30	0.0083677	0.0002789		

Table 6 .
Weekly β-Carotenoid Equivalents (μg/ml)

Treatment	Day 27	Day 34	Day 41	Day 47
Immunized + Monensin	17.32	19.26	25.44	27.96
Immunized	16.09	17.65	22.71	26.94
Monensin	15.73	18.19	24.63	28.42
Control	14.54	15.43	24.03	22.36

ANOVA (Day 27)

SOV	<u>df</u>	SS	MS	F	_ <u>P</u>
Total	31	427.35745			
Trt	3	31.575434	_		
Immunized (I)	1	19.797778	•	1.401	NS
Monensin (M)	1	11.773378		.833	NS
$I \times M$	1	0.004278		<1	NS
Error	28	395.78202	14.135072		-, · - ·

PSEM = 1.32

ANOVA (Day 34)

sov	<u>đf</u>	SS	MS	F	<u> </u>
Total	31	262.029670			
Trt	3	62.433109	20.811036	2.919	.05
Immunized (I)	1	21.598878		3.03	.075
Monensin (M)	1	38.171953		5.35	.025
$I \times M$	1	2.6622781		<1	NS
Error	28	199.59656	7.1284486		

ANOVA (Day 41)

SOV	<u>df</u>	SS	MS	F	P
Total Trt Immunized (I) Monensin (M) I × M Error	31 3 1 1 1 28	254.01399 31.679838 0.5253125 22.144513 9.0100125 222.33415	10.559946 7.9405054	1.329 <1 2.7888 1.1346901	NS NS NS

PSEM = 0.996

ANOVA (Day 47)

SOV	<u>df</u>	SS	MS	<u> </u>	<u> P</u>
Total Trt Immunized (I) Monensin (M) I × M Error	31 3 1 1 28	498.2835 184.70868 33.763653 100.2174 50.727628	11 100101	5.50 3.01 8.95 4.53	<.005 <.10 <.01 <.05
Immunized (I) Monensin (M)	-	33.763653 100.2174	11.199101	3.01 8.95	<.

PSEM = 2.5

Table 7
Final Performance Data (47 Days)*

Treatment	Body Weight (lbs.)	Final Feed Conversion	Processi Carcass Characte Color % 1-2	ristics** Finish % 1-2
Immunized + Monensin	4.632 ^b	1.953	92.05	100
Immunized	4.755 ^a	1.956	94.45	100
Monensin	4.651 ^{ab}	1.956	95.00	100
Control	4.696 ^{ab}	1.977	87.00	98.9

^{*} Means within columns not followed by a common letter are significantly different.

ANOVA (Final Body Weight)

sov	<u>df</u>	SS	MS	F	<u> </u>
Total Trt Immunized (I) Monensin (M) I × M Error	31 3 1 1 28	0.237622 0.0682438 0.0018911 0.0510401 0.0153125 0.1693782	0.0227479 0.0060492	<1 8.44 2.53	NS <.01 NS

^{**} Each processed bird was given a score ranging from 1-5 for color and finish, with 1-2 = very good to excellent and 3-4 = fair to good.

ANOVA (Final Feed Conversion)

sov	<u>df</u>	SS	MS	F	P	5
Total Trt Immunized (I) Monensin (M)	31 3 1 1	0.0112202 0.0029266 0.0011400 0.0010928	0.0009755	3.29 3.85 3.69	<.05 <.075 <.075	10
I × M Error	1 28	0.0006938 0.0082936	0.0002962	2.34	NS	
		PSEM =	0.006			15
Claims						20
(1) orally admir number effective t (2) maintaining birth or hatching a	nistering to gener the anin and conti	to the animal, at the state of the state of any chemical free of any cheminulng after step (1)	iosis-susceptible anima the neonate stage, info al response by the anima notherapeutic anticocc until sporozoites have p substantially continuo	ective coccidi al, while idial for a peri penetrated ho	al organisms in a od beginning with	<i>2</i> 5
A method accordictionophore-resistant str (1) orally adminictions of an organisms organisms.	rain of Ei listering lonopho	meria which compri- to the fowl, within a	24 hours of hatching, a of Eimeria which is c	n effective n	imher of infective	30
(2) maintaining hatching and conti	the fow inuing at administ aghout the state of the state	I free of any chemo fter step (1) until spo tering an anticocci he life of the fowl.	otherapeutic anticoccio prozoites have penetrat dially effective dose	ed host cells.	and	35
(1) during the fir the egg with infect response, and (2) following hat	nal quart ctive co ching, a	er of an incubation p occidial organisms i dministering an antic	period of an avian egg on a number effective	to generate a	in immunological	40
5. A method of any on 6. A method of any on	3 emplo fers imm ne of Cla ne of Cla	ying infective coccio nunity against an ion ims 1 to 4, wherein t ims 1 to 4, wherein ti	he ionophore is monen he ionophore is lasaloc	of <u>Eimeria.</u> sin. id.	hich is ionophore	45
8. A method of any on 9. A method of any on	ne of Clai	ims I to 4, wherein th ims 1 to 4, wherein ti	he lonophore is narasin le lonophore is salinom he lonophore is madure ding claims wherein th	ycin. micin	ng protected is a	50
						<i>55</i>
			·			60
						65